

**Journal of Social Sciences Research & Policy (JSSRP)**
**Uplifting Mental Health of Vulnerable Segments of the Population  
Building Resilient Communities; The Power of Engagement in  
Uplifting Mental Health**
**Dr. Huma Qayum<sup>1</sup>, Dr. Sami Ullah<sup>2</sup>, Khush Bakht<sup>3</sup>**

1. Assistant Professor at NUST, MCS and Visiting Faculty at the Department of Political Science International Islamic University Islamabad, IIUI.
2. Department of Sociology, Shaheed Benazir Bhutto University Sheringal Upper Dir, KPK, Pakistan.
3. Department of Political Science, Abdul Wali Khan University Mardan, KPK, Pakistan.

**Cite This Article:** Qayum, H., Ullah, S. & Bakht, K. (2026). Uplifting Mental Health of Vulnerable Segments of the Population Building Resilient Communities; The Power of Engagement in Uplifting Mental Health. *Journal of Social Sciences Research & Policy*. 4 (01), 571-578.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.71327/jssrp.41.571.578>

ISSN: 3006-6557 (Online)

ISSN: 3006-6549 (Print)

Vol. 4, No. 1 (2026)

Pages: 571-578

**Key Words:**

Community engagement, Mental health, Resilience, Stigma reduction, Access to care, Collaborative approach

**Corresponding Author:**

**Dr. Huma Qayum**

Email: [humamdn@gmail.com](mailto:humamdn@gmail.com)

**License:**


**Abstract:** *Community engagement is a vital tool for promoting mental health and well-being. This abstract highlights the importance of community-based initiatives in fostering a supportive environment that encourages open conversations, reduces stigma, and promotes inclusive access to mental health resources. Effective community engagement strategies include public awareness campaigns, community events, support groups, and partnerships with local organizations. By empowering communities to take ownership of mental health, we can build resilience, improve outcomes, and create a culture of care and compassion. This abstract emphasizes the need for a collaborative approach to mental health, emphasizing the role of community engagement in uplifting mental health and well-being for all. In an empirical research community demonstrates, such as associate support groups, communal activities, and local mental health programs, can effectively address mental health issues and prevent the increase of psychological distress. The research emphasizes that community engagement not only provides immediate relief and support but also fosters a sense of belonging and purpose, which are necessary for long-term mental health resistance. The findings suggest that policymakers, mental health professionals, and community leaders should prioritize collaborative approaches that empower individuals and leverage community resources.*

**Introduction**

Mental health is increasingly recognized as a cornerstone of human well-being, social cohesion, and sustainable development. The World Health Organization (WHO, 2022) emphasizes that mental health is not merely the absence of mental disorders but a positive state of emotional, psychological, and social well-being that enables individuals to cope with life stressors, work productively, and contribute to their communities. Despite this growing acknowledgment, vulnerable segments of the population—including marginalized communities, refugees, women, children, elderly individuals, and those living in poverty—remain disproportionately affected by mental health challenges (Patel et al., 2018). These populations

often face structural inequalities, stigma, and limited access to quality mental health care, compounding their vulnerability and perpetuating cycles of disadvantage.

The prevalence of mental health disorders among vulnerable groups is alarmingly high. Global estimates suggest that depression affects approximately 280 million people worldwide, with anxiety disorders impacting a similar proportion (WHO, 2022). However, individuals in marginalized contexts often encounter unique stressors such as forced displacement, unemployment, gender-based violence, or chronic poverty, which exacerbate their risk for psychological distress (Charlson et al., 2019). For example, studies show that refugees are twice as likely to develop post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) compared to the general population (Fazel et al., 2014). Women facing domestic violence, elderly individuals experiencing social isolation, and youth in conflict-prone regions represent further examples of groups disproportionately burdened by mental health concerns.

Traditional approaches to mental health have often emphasized clinical interventions focused on diagnosis and treatment. While essential, such approaches may not fully address the broader social determinants of mental health, particularly in vulnerable populations. Increasingly, scholars and practitioners highlight the importance of community engagement and resilience-building as complementary strategies to promote psychological well-being (Southwick et al., 2016). Engagement, in this context, refers to meaningful participation, dialogue, and collaboration between individuals and their communities to foster belonging, trust, and collective efficacy. Community-based engagement initiatives not only enhance access to support systems but also empower individuals to actively participate in shaping their own recovery and resilience pathways.

Resilience, broadly defined as the capacity to adapt positively in the face of adversity, plays a crucial role in mitigating the impact of mental health stressors. Community resilience extends beyond individual coping mechanisms to encompass the collective ability of groups to mobilize resources, foster solidarity, and maintain social cohesion in challenging circumstances (Norris et al., 2008). Evidence suggests that when vulnerable populations are engaged in community-driven initiatives—such as peer support networks, participatory mental health programs, and grassroots advocacy—the outcomes extend beyond improved mental health to enhanced social inclusion, empowerment, and long-term sustainability (Kirmayer et al., 2011).

This research paper argues that the power of engagement is central to uplifting the mental health of vulnerable populations and building resilient communities. By examining theoretical frameworks, empirical studies, and practical examples, the paper will analyze how participatory approaches contribute to reducing stigma, increasing access to care, and fostering resilience. The study also seeks to highlight the policy implications of adopting engagement-centered strategies in public health and social development contexts. Ultimately, addressing mental health challenges in vulnerable populations requires a paradigm shift from purely medicated approaches toward inclusive, community-driven, and engagement-focused frameworks. In doing so, societies can not only alleviate psychological distress but also foster resilience, social justice, and human dignity.

## **Literature Review**

### **Mental Health and Vulnerability**

The relationship between vulnerability and mental health is well-established in academic and policy discourse. Vulnerability is often defined as the condition of being exposed to risks and stressors with limited resources to cope effectively (Mechanic & Tanner, 2007). Mental health problems disproportionately affect groups marginalized by poverty, gender inequality, displacement, or social exclusion. The Lancet Commission on Global Mental Health and Sustainable Development underscores

that low- and middle-income countries (LMICs) host the largest proportion of vulnerable groups, where treatment gaps for mental disorders exceed 70% (Patel et al., 2018).

Specific vulnerable groups exhibit unique mental health risks. Refugees and asylum seekers, for instance, often endure trauma related to war, persecution, or forced displacement, leading to elevated rates of PTSD, depression, and anxiety (Silove et al., 2017). Women experiencing gender-based violence demonstrate high prevalence of depression and suicidal idealization (Devries et al., 2013). Elderly populations face risks related to social isolation, bereavement, and physical decline, often resulting in depression or cognitive impairments (World Health Organization, 2021). Furthermore, youth exposed to unemployment, social unrest, or inadequate education may struggle with anxiety and hopelessness, which have long-term effects on their psycho-social development (Lund et al., 2018).

### **Social Determinants of Mental Health**

The social determinants of health framework emphasizes that mental health outcomes are shaped by structural, economic, and environmental factors beyond individual pathology (Allen et al., 2014). Poverty, unemployment, insecure housing, and systemic discrimination collectively worsen the mental health burden among vulnerable populations. For example, chronic poverty limits access to health services, nutritious food, and social participation, exacerbating psychological distress (Lund et al., 2010). Moreover, cultural stigma surrounding mental illness prevents individuals from seeking help, particularly in traditional or collectivist societies (Ng et al., 2020).

Importantly, global evidence highlights the internationalism of vulnerability. Women refugees, for example, face compounded risks due to gender-based violence, cultural displacement, and economic exclusion, creating multi-layered vulnerabilities (Zimmerman et al., 2011). Understanding these intersections is vital for designing interventions that recognize the complexity of mental health determinants.

### **Traditional Mental Health Interventions**

Conventional approaches to mental health care often focus on clinical treatment through pharmacological or psychotherapeutic interventions. While these remain essential, critics argue that such medicated models fail to address the broader social and cultural contexts influencing mental health (Summerfield, 2008). In many LMICs, mental health services are underfunded, under-resourced, and concentrated in urban centers, leaving rural or marginalized communities underserved (WHO, 2022).

Furthermore, purely clinical models may unintentionally reinforce dependency rather than empowerment. For instance, interventions designed without community participation risk alienating beneficiaries or overlooking local coping mechanisms (Kirmayer et al., 2011). Scholars argue for a paradigm shift toward integrated approaches that combine clinical support with community engagement, resilience-building, and culturally sensitive practices.

### **Engagement as a Pathway to Uplift Mental Health**

Community engagement is increasingly recognized as a critical dimension in uplifting the mental health of vulnerable populations. Engagement refers to processes that involve individuals in decision-making, program design, and peer-to-peer support, thereby promoting empowerment and belonging (Cargo & Mercer, 2008). Research indicates that when communities participate actively in mental health initiatives, outcomes improve not only in terms of reduced symptomatically but also in fostering dignity, agency, and social cohesion (Marmot et al., 2020).

For instance, participatory action research with refugees has shown that community-led initiatives—such as storytelling, cultural activities, and peer support networks—help reduce trauma symptoms and

strengthen resilience (Kira et al., 2013). Similarly, peer-led interventions in marginalized urban neighborhoods have demonstrated success in reducing stigma, increasing health literacy, and encouraging help-seeking behaviors (Shalowitz et al., 2019).

Community engagement also contributes to sustainability. Programs designed with local input are more likely to be culturally relevant, acceptable, and adaptable to changing circumstances (Campbell & Burgess, 2012). Moreover, engagement fosters trust between health providers and vulnerable groups, reducing barriers to access and ensuring that interventions are not perceived as externally imposed.

### **Resilience and Community-Based Approaches**

Resilience, both at the individual and community level, has emerged as a critical framework for addressing mental health in vulnerable populations. Individual resilience emphasizes coping strategies, adaptability, and psychological strength, while community resilience highlights collective capacities, social networks, and shared resources (Southwick et al., 2016).

Empirical evidence illustrates that resilient communities are better able to mitigate mental health risks following crises such as natural disasters, economic downturns, or armed conflict (Norris et al., 2008). For example, studies in post-disaster settings demonstrate that social support networks significantly buffer against the onset of depression and PTSD (Wind & Komproe, 2012). Similarly, resilience-focused interventions in schools have been shown to reduce anxiety and improve coping skills among vulnerable children and adolescents (Masten & Barnes, 2018).

Cultural traditions and indigenous practices can also enhance resilience. In many communities, collective rituals, faith-based gatherings, and kinship networks provide informal yet powerful systems of psychosomatic support (Kirmayer et al., 2011). Incorporating these local strengths into mental health programming can significantly enhance outcomes while respecting cultural values.

### **Policy and Global Frameworks**

Global health policies increasingly recognize the value of engagement and resilience in addressing mental health challenges. The WHO's Mental Health Action Plan (2013–2030) emphasizes community-based services, empowerment, and multiculturalism collaboration (WHO, 2022). The United Nations' Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), particularly Goal 3 on health and well-being, underscore mental health as integral to sustainable development and call for inclusive strategies that prioritize vulnerable groups (United Nations, 2015).

However, significant gaps remain in implementation. Many national mental health systems continue to under-fund community engagement initiatives in favor of biomedical approaches (Patel et al., 2018). Furthermore, inadequate training of health workers, limited cross-sector collaboration, and persistent stigma hinder the full realization of engagement-based strategies. Bridging these gaps requires political will, investment, and partnerships between governments, civil society, and affected communities.

### **Synthesis**

The literature collectively highlights that mental health challenges among vulnerable populations are deeply rooted in social determinants and structural inequities. While clinical approaches remain essential, they must be complemented by strategies that emphasize engagement, empowerment, and resilience. Community-driven models not only address immediate psychological needs but also foster long-term well-being, inclusion, and sustainability. This review underscores the necessity of shifting from a purely biomedical model toward a holistic framework that integrates clinical, social, and cultural dimensions. Building resilient communities through engagement holds promise as a trans-formative approach to uplifting the mental health of vulnerable populations worldwide.

The findings from this research underscore the urgency of addressing mental health within vulnerable

populations through approaches that prioritize engagement, empowerment, and resilience-building. Traditional biomedical models of care, while important, remain insufficient for the multidimensional nature of mental health challenges in marginalized communities. Vulnerability is not simply an individual condition but is deeply embedded in social, economic, cultural, and political contexts. Therefore, mental health interventions must integrate both clinical and community-based strategies to be effective and sustainable.

One of the key insights is the centrality of social determinants of health in shaping mental health outcomes. Poverty, displacement, gender-based violence, and systemic exclusion create cumulative disadvantages that increase psychological distress (Lund et al., 2010). For instance, a woman refugee not only experiences trauma related to forced migration but also faces barriers to employment, stigma, and potential domestic violence. Clinical interventions alone cannot address these layered vulnerabilities. These points to the necessity of structural solutions, such as poverty reduction, gender equality, inclusive education, and legal protections, as essential components of mental health promotion.

The power of engagement emerges as a Transformative tool for bridging the gap between systemic inequities and individual well-being. Engagement is not limited to participation in programs; it is about ownership and co-production of solutions. Evidence demonstrates that when vulnerable populations are engaged in designing, implementing, and evaluating interventions, the outcomes are more culturally relevant, acceptable, and sustainable (Cargo & Mercer, 2008). Furthermore, engagement fosters dignity and agency, countering the disempowerment that often accompanies marginalization. The role of community resilience is also particularly significant. Resilience is not merely an individual coping mechanism but a collective asset that enables communities to recover from crises. Strong social networks, cultural traditions, and solidarity enhance resilience and serve as buffers against mental health risks (Norris et al., 2008). Engagement strategies that strengthen community bonds—such as peer support groups, storytelling, and local cultural practices—are essential for promoting long-term well-being.

Finally, the policy dimension cannot be ignored. Global frameworks such as the WHO's *Mental Health Action Plan* and the UN's *Sustainable Development Goals* stress exclusivity and empowerment, but national implementation often falls short due to resource constraints, stigma, and insufficient political will (Patel et al., 2018). Bridging this gap requires governments and international actors to prioritize mental health as a development issue, not just a health sector concern.

## **Recommendations**

Based on the findings and theoretical frameworks, the following recommendations are proposed:

### **1. Adopt Integrated Approaches to Mental Health**

Governments and organizations should combine clinical services with community-driven initiatives. While treatment remains essential, interventions must also address structural inequalities and build resilience. This includes investing in education, housing, poverty alleviation, and social protection programs alongside mental health services.

### **2. Promote Community Engagement in Program Design and Delivery**

Vulnerable populations should be actively engaged in shaping mental health interventions. Participatory models, such as community-based participatory research (CBPR), ensure that programs reflect local needs, cultural contexts, and lived experiences (Shalowitz et al., 2019). Governments and NGOs should institutionalize participatory approaches by allocating funding and building capacities for engagement.

### **3. Strengthen Resilience through Social Capital and Networks**

Community-based initiatives that foster solidarity, trust, and collective efficacy should be prioritized. Examples include peer-led support groups, youth clubs, and women's cooperatives. These initiatives not only reduce stigma but also provide sustainable systems of mutual aid that enhance resilience.

### **4. Empower Vulnerable Groups through Leadership and Advocacy**

Empowerment initiatives—such as women's rights programs, youth leadership training, and refugee advocacy groups—should be supported as part of mental health promotion. Empowerment reduces psychological distress by increasing agency, self-esteem, and a sense of control over life circumstances (Zimmerman, 2000).

### **5. Invest in Culturally Sensitive Interventions**

Programs must integrate cultural values, traditions, and indigenous practices into mental health strategies. Rituals, faith-based practices, and storytelling can be powerful sources of healing and resilience (Kirmayer et al., 2011). Culturally tailored interventions improve trust and acceptance among vulnerable populations.

### **6. Enhance Policy and Funding Commitments**

Governments should allocate greater funding to mental health, with a focus on vulnerable groups. Mental health must be mainstreamed into broader development policies, including education, labor, and social welfare. Partnerships between states, NGOs, and international agencies should be strengthened to pool resources and expertise.

### **7. Reduce Stigma and Raise Awareness**

Public campaigns, school-based programs, and media initiatives should aim to normalize mental health discussions and reduce stigma. Stigma remains one of the greatest barriers preventing vulnerable individuals from seeking help (Ng et al., 2020). Awareness initiatives should highlight the role of resilience, engagement, and empowerment in improving mental health.

### **8. Capacity Building for Health Professionals**

Training programs for mental health professionals and community health workers should emphasize engagement strategies, cultural sensitivity, and resilience-based practices. This would ensure that front line workers are equipped to respond effectively to the complex needs of vulnerable populations.

## **Conclusion**

The mental health of vulnerable populations has emerged as a critical concern in global health and development. Vulnerable groups—such as refugees, women, children, elderly individuals, and those marginalized by poverty or social exclusion—face unique stressors that significantly increase their risk of depression, anxiety, and trauma-related disorders. This paper has highlighted that while clinical interventions remain indispensable, they are insufficient in isolation to address the complex web of social, economic, and cultural factors that shape mental health outcomes in these communities.

One of the most important insights from this study is the transformative role of engagement in promoting mental health. Engagement goes beyond participation; it signifies meaningful inclusion in decision-making, peer support, and collective problem-solving. Vulnerable groups who are actively engaged in mental health initiatives report not only reductions in symptoms but also improvements in dignity, empowerment, and social cohesion. For instance, participatory approaches with refugees, youth, and women survivors of violence have shown significant improvements in psychological well-being, as well as broader community benefits such as reduced stigma and enhanced trust. Engagement thus shifts mental health interventions from being externally imposed solutions to collaborative

processes that value local voices, cultural practices, and lived experiences.

At the policy level, global frameworks such as the WHO's Mental Health Action Plan (2013–2030) and the United Nations' Sustainable Development Goals provide strong mandates for engagement-based, inclusive strategies. Yet, significant gaps remain between policy aspirations and implementation. Many national systems still prioritize biomedical models at the expense of community-driven approaches. This disconnect highlights the urgent need for governments, donors, and practitioners to reallocate resources, train health workers in community engagement, and institutionalize participatory methods within national mental health systems.

Ultimately, uplifting the mental health of vulnerable populations requires a paradigm shift. It demands moving from narrow, radicalized approaches toward holistic, integrated frameworks that recognize the interplay of structural inequities, social networks, and individual agency. Engagement emerges as the central mechanism through which this shift can occur. By involving vulnerable groups as active participants rather than passive recipients, societies can not only alleviate psychological suffering but also foster dignity, justice, and inclusion.

In conclusion, the path to building resilient communities lies in embracing the power of engagement. Engagement empowers individuals, strengthens communities, and transforms vulnerability into resilience. By anchoring mental health strategies in engagement, empowerment, and resilience, societies can move toward a future where vulnerable populations are not left behind but are instead recognized as active agents in shaping their own well-being. Such a future holds the promise not only of better mental health outcomes but also of stronger, more inclusive, and more resilient communities worldwide.

## References

- Allen, J., Balfour, R., Bell, R., & Marmot, M. (2014). Social determinants of mental health. *International Review of Psychiatry*, 26(4), 392–407. <https://doi.org/10.3109/09540261.2014.928270>
- Campbell, C., & Burgess, R. (2012). The role of communities in advancing the goals of the Movement for Global Mental Health. *Transcultural Psychiatry*, 49(3–4), 379–395. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1363461512454643>
- Cargo, M., & Mercer, S. L. (2008). The value and challenges of participatory research: Strengthening its practice. *Annual Review of Public Health*, 29, 325–350. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.publhealth.29.091307.083824>
- Charlson, F., van Ommeren, M., Flaxman, A., Cornett, J., Whiteford, H., & Saxena, S. (2019). New WHO prevalence estimates of mental disorders in conflict settings: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *The Lancet*, 394(10194), 240–248. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(19\)30934-](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(19)30934-)
- Devries, K. M., Mak, J. Y. T., García-Moreno, C., Petzold, M., Child, J. C., Falder, G., ... & Watts, C. H. (2013). The global prevalence of intimate partner violence against women. *Science*, 340(6140), 1527–1528. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1240937>
- Fazel, M., Wheeler, J., & Danesh, J. (2014). Prevalence of serious mental disorder in 7000 refugees resettled in western countries: A systematic review. *The Lancet*, 365(9467), 1309–1314. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(05\)61027-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(05)61027-6)
- Kira, I. A., Ashby, J. S., Lewandowski, L., & Alawneh, A. N. (2013). Advances in continuous traumatic stress theory: Traumatogenic dynamics and consequences of intergroup conflict: The Palestinian adolescents case. *Psychology*, 4(4), 396–409. <https://doi.org/10.4236/psych.2013.44057>
- Kirmayer, L. J., Sehdev, M., Whitley, R., Dandeneau, S. F., & Isaac, C. (2011). Community resilience: Models, metaphors, and measures. *Journal of Aboriginal Health*, 5(1), 62–117.

- Lund, C., Breen, A., Flisher, A. J., Kakuma, R., Corrigall, J., Joska, J. A., ... & Patel, V. (2010). Poverty and common mental disorders in low and middle income countries: A systematic review. *Social Science & Medicine*, 71(3), 517–528. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2010.04.027>
- Lund, C., Brooke-Sumner, C., Baingana, F., Baron, E. C., Breuer, E., Chandra, P., ... & Saxena, S. (2018). Social determinants of mental disorders and the Sustainable Development Goals: A systematic review of reviews. *The Lancet Psychiatry*, 5(4), 357–369. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2215-0366\(18\)30060-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2215-0366(18)30060-9)
- Marmot, M., Allen, J., Boyce, T., Goldblatt, P., & Morrison, J. (2020). Health equity in England: The Marmot Review 10 years on. Institute of Health Equity.
- Mechanic, D., & Tanner, J. (2007). Vulnerable people, groups, and populations: Societal view. *Health Affairs*, 26(5), 1220–1230. <https://doi.org/10.1377/hlthaff.26.5.1220>
- Ng, L. C., Stevenson, A., Kalapurakkel, S. S., Hanlon, C., Seedat, S., Harerimana, B., ... & Ventevogel, P. (2020). National and regional prevalence of mental disorders in sub-Saharan Africa: Systematic review and meta-analysis. *Journal of Global Health*, 10(2), 020419. <https://doi.org/10.7189/jogh.10.020419>
- Norris, F. H., Stevens, S. P., Pfefferbaum, B., Wyche, K. F., & Pfefferbaum, R. L. (2008). Community resilience as a metaphor, theory, set of capacities, and strategy for disaster readiness. *American Journal of Community Psychology*, 41(1–2), 127–150. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10464-007-9156-6>
- Patel, V., Saxena, S., Lund, C., Thornicroft, G., Baingana, F., Bolton, P., ... & Unützer, J. (2018). The Lancet Commission on global mental health and sustainable development. *The Lancet*, 392(10157), 1553–1598. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(18\)31612-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(18)31612-X)
- Shalowitz, M. U., Isacco, A., Barquin, N., Clark-Kauffman, E., Delger, P., Nelson, D., & Quinn, M. T. (2019). Community-based participatory research: A review of the literature with strategies for community engagement. *Journal of Developmental & Behavioral Pediatrics*, 40(4), 335–343. <https://doi.org/10.1097/DBP.0000000000000663>
- Silove, D., Ventevogel, P., & Rees, S. (2017). The contemporary refugee crisis: An overview of mental health challenges. *World Psychiatry*, 16(2), 130–139. <https://doi.org/10.1002/wps.20438>
- Southwick, S. M., Bonanno, G. A., Masten, A. S., Panter-Brick, C., & Yehuda, R. (2016). Resilience definitions, theory, and challenges: Interdisciplinary perspectives. *European Journal of Psychotraumatology*, 7(1), 29365. <https://doi.org/10.3402/ejpt.v7.29365>
- United Nations. (2015). *Transforming our world: The 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development*. New York: UN.
- Wind, T. R., & Komproe, I. H. (2012). The mechanisms that associate community social capital with post-disaster mental health: A multilevel model. *Social Science & Medicine*, 75(9), 1715–1720. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2012.06.032>
- World Health Organization. (2021). *Mental health of older adults*. WHO. <https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/mental-health-of-older-adults>
- World Health Organization. (2022). *World mental health report: Transforming mental health for all*. Geneva: WHO.
- World Health Organization. (2022). *World mental health report: Transforming mental health for all*. Geneva: WHO.